1	BEFORE THE					
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION					
3	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION On) DOCKET NO. Its Own Motion) 10-0366					
4)))))))))))))))))))					
5	Management Audit of)					
6	Illinois-American Water Company to) consider the costs of services)					
7	obtained from its Service Company.)					
8	Springfield, Illinois					
9	Wednesday, February 15, 2012					
10	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.					
11	BEFORE:					
12	MR. LARRY JONES, Administrative Law Judge					
13	APPEARANCES:					
14	MR. MICHAEL LANNON MS. NICOLE LUCKEY					
15	Office of General Counsel					
16	Illinois Commerce Commission 160 North LaSalle, Suite C-800					
10	Chicago, Illinois 60601					
17	Ph. (312) 793-2433					
18	(Appearing via teleconference on					
19	behalf of Staff Witnesses of the Illinois Commerce Commission)					
	, and the second se					
20						
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Carla J. Boehl, Reporter					
22	CSR #084-002710					

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	MR. ALBERT STURTEVANT WHITT STURTEVANT, L.L.P.
3	180 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1822
	Chicago, Illinois 60601.
4	Ph. (773) 531-8979
5	(Appearing via teleconference or
	behalf of Illinois-American
6	Water Company)
7	MR. KENNETH C. JONES
_	Corporate Counsel
8	Illinois-American Water Company
^	300 North Water Works Drive
9	Belleville, Illinois 62223
10	Ph. (618) 239-3222
10	(Appearing via teleconference or
11	behalf of Illinois-American
	Water Company)
12	massi company,
	MS. SUSAN L. SATTER
13	Illinois Attorney General's Office
	11th Floor
14	100 West Randolph
	Chicago, Illinois 60601
15	
	(Appearing via teleconference or
16	behalf of the People of the
	State of Illinois)
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
-	
21	
22	

1		I N D E X		
2			D=D-TD=G=	D=GD044
3	WITNESS	DIRECT CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
4	(None)			
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13		77777777		
14		<u>EXHIBITS</u>	MARKER	3 DWT MM BD
15	(None)		MARKED	ADMITTED
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				

1 PROCEEDINGS

- 2 JUDGE JONES: Good morning. I call for hearing
- 3 Docket Number 10-0366. This is titled in part
- 4 Illinois Commerce Commission on its own motion,
- 5 management audit of Illinois-American Water Company
- 6 it consider the cost of services obtained from its
- 7 service company.
- At this time, as before, we will take
- 9 the appearances orally for the record. If your
- 10 business address and phone number are the same as you
- 11 gave us previously, you need not repeat those or
- 12 re-spell your name unless you simply wish to. We
- 13 will start with the appearance or appearances on
- 14 behalf of Illinois-American Water Company.
- 15 MR. STURTEVANT: Good morning, Your Honor.
- 16 This is Albert Sturtevant appearing on behalf of
- 17 Illinois-American Water Company. My address has
- 18 changed and it is now Whitt Sturtevant, L.L.P., 180
- 19 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1822, Chicago, Illinois
- 20 60601. My phone number is (773) 531-8979.
- 21 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Other appearances?
- 22 MR. JONES: Yeah, Your Honor, this is Kenneth

- 1 C. Jones, and I am not sure if I have been on an
- 2 audit status call before so I will give my address.
- 3 It is 300 North Water Works Drive, Belleville,
- 4 Illinois 62223, and the phone number is
- 5 (618) 239-3222.
- 6 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Other appearances?
- 7 MR. LANNON: On behalf of the Staff of the
- 8 Illinois Commerce Commission, Mike Lannon and Nicole
- 9 Luckey, and our business address and phone numbers
- 10 have not changed.
- 11 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Ms. Satter?
- 12 MS. SATTER: Appearing on behalf of the People
- 13 of the State of Illinois, Susan L. Satter.
- 14 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Are there any other
- 15 appearances?
- 16 (No response.)
- 17 Let the record show there are not, at
- 18 least at this time.
- 19 Since the last time we actually had a
- 20 status hearing, there has been some activity in the
- 21 docket in the form of some filings that were made as
- 22 reflected on e-Docket.

- 1 First off, are there any agreed-to
- 2 scheduling proposals to be advanced on the record at
- 3 this time?
- 4 MR. LANNON: Your Honor, Staff has one
- 5 proposal.
- 6 JUDGE JONES: Do you believe that to be an
- 7 agreed-to proposal or --
- 8 MR. LANNON: No, it is not, Your Honor, at
- 9 least not by everybody.
- 10 Staff would propose setting either
- 11 March 19 or 21st for responses or replies to the
- 12 Company's response to the audit, if any party deems
- 13 it necessary. And then Staff would propose a status
- 14 roughly two to four weeks out after that.
- 15 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you.
- 16 MS. SATTER: The People don't have a problem
- 17 with the time for responding to the Company's filing,
- 18 but I was hoping that the status could be closer to
- 19 two weeks than four weeks.
- 20 MR. LANNON: That's not a problem with Staff,
- 21 Your Honor.
- 22 JUDGE JONES: Okay. Counsel for

- 1 Illinois-American?
- 2 MR. STURTEVANT: Your Honor, I understand I
- 3 guess the purpose of the Staff's request is to, you
- 4 know, have an opportunity to review the response and
- 5 reply. You know, the Company's concern with respect
- 6 to that is I don't believe it is provided for in the
- 7 initiating Order, you know, the scheduling of a
- 8 reply.
- 9 However, that said, you know, I
- 10 understand that, you know, Staff proposes; the AG has
- 11 no objection. So the Company's position would be
- 12 that a reply filing would be acceptable, assuming
- 13 that it is okay with Your Honor, but with the
- 14 understanding that any reply filed would be limited
- 15 to addressing points raised in Illinois-American's
- 16 response to the audit.
- 17 MR. LANNON: And, Your Honor, Mike Lannon
- 18 again. Staff has no issue with the limitation
- 19 Mr. Sturtevant just articulated.
- 20 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Ms. Satter?
- 21 MS. SATTER: Yes, I am okay with that as well.
- 22 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you. I think

- 1 the suggested time period for a status hearing was
- 2 two to four weeks after the reply filing. In order
- 3 to give parties a chance to check your calendars to
- 4 see if there is a status hearing date in that time
- 5 period that is agreeable to everybody and practical
- for everybody, we will in a second, in just a minute,
- 7 go off the record.
- 8 To the extent some sort of
- 9 disagreement arises over that, then it will be
- 10 necessary to go back on the record to resolve it.
- 11 But for now we will and do hereby go off the record
- 12 to give parties a chance to look at your calendars
- 13 and come up with an agreed-to date in there that does
- 14 not have conflicts in it or does not otherwise fall
- 15 at a point in that range of dates that is
- 16 unacceptable to somebody.
- 17 So we're off the record.
- 18 (Whereupon there was then had an
- 19 off-the-record discussion.)
- 20 JUDGE JONES: Back on the record.
- 21 There was an off-the-record discussion
- 22 for the purposes indicated. I believe the parties

- 1 have come to agreement on a date that is either
- 2 acceptable or at least is not one that would be
- 3 objectionable. And I believe the status hearing date
- 4 that came out of that discussion would be April 10 at
- 5 10:00 a.m. by phone. As noted, that will be preceded
- 6 by a reply filing on either March 19 or March 21.
- 7 Which of those two are you suggesting,
- 8 Mr. Lannon? We will start with that.
- 9 MR. LANNON: I suppose my preference would be
- 10 the 21st, Your Honor.
- 11 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you. All
- 12 right. The suggestion of Staff counsel then is March
- 13 21, to be followed by a status hearing by phone on
- 14 April 10 at 10:00 a.m.
- 15 Subject to the other comments that
- 16 were made with respect to the schedule before we went
- 17 off the record, does anyone have any objection then
- 18 to Mr. Lannon's proposed scheduling?
- 19 MS. SATTER: No.
- 20 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Let the record show
- 21 there are no objections. At this time then let the
- 22 record show that that scheduling is hereby put into

- 1 place and approved, involving a reply filing date on
- 2 March 21. The scope of or limitations of the reply
- 3 were covered before we went off the record.
- 4 And then scheduling also includes a
- 5 status hearing to be held on April 10 at 10:00 a.m.
- 6 with participation by phone to be permitted.
- 7 All right. I think that may cover the
- 8 bases then, but let me double check. Does anybody
- 9 have anything else for the record either with regard
- 10 to the scheduling or otherwise before we conclude
- 11 today's status hearing?
- 12 (No response.)
- 13 Let the record show they do not. Our
- 14 thanks to Mr. Jones for circulating the call-in
- 15 number.
- 16 At this time let the record show
- 17 today's status hearing is concluded. In accordance
- 18 with the above, this matter is continued to a status
- 19 hearing date to be held on April 10, 2012, at the
- 20 hour of 10:00 a.m.
- (Whereupon the hearing in this
- 21 matter was continued until April 10, 2012, at 10:00 a.m. in
- 22 Springfield, Illinois.)